

## Simplest quadrupolar glass

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1995 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 L497

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/28/18/004>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 01:21

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Simplest quadrupolar glass

K Walasek

Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University of Zielona Góra, Plac Słowiański 6, 65-069, Poland

Received 20 June 1995

**Abstract.** The quadrupolar glass model with  $p$ -quadrupole interactions, exactly solvable in the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$  is presented. It has been shown that for  $p \rightarrow \infty$  the energy levels of the system are statistically independent, which gives a quadrupolar counterpart of the spin random energy model. Using the Parisi scheme of replica symmetry breaking it has been calculated that the quadrupolar glass parameter function is a step function for  $p \rightarrow \infty$  as well as for large  $p$ .

Quadrupolar glasses (QGs) have recently attracted much experimental and theoretical attention (see reviews [1]). However, in contrast to spin glasses, different aspects of the behaviour of QGs are not yet satisfactorily explained. For example there is controversy about the application of the Parisi replica symmetry breaking (RSB) scheme [2]. Thus it appears to be a quite important to have a simple model solved exactly, at least, in some limiting cases in order to gain a better understanding of QG problem. In the spin glass theory such exactly solvable model, known as the random energy model (REM), has been formulated by Derrida [3]. In this letter we present a quadrupolar counterpart of the REM. The proposed model describes a system of  $N$  quadrupoles interacting via  $p$ -body interaction. It is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = - \sum_{i_1 \dots i_p} \sum_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p = 0,2} J_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} Q_{i_1, \mu_1} \dots Q_{i_p, \mu_p} \tag{1}$$

where the  $Q_{i, \mu}$  are spin or pseudospin operators defined as

$$Q_{i,0} = 1 - \frac{3}{2} (S_i^z)^2 \tag{2a}$$

$$Q_{i,2} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \left[ (S_i^x)^2 - (S_i^y)^2 \right] \tag{2b}$$

with  $S = 1$  and the sum  $(i_1 \dots i_p)$  runs over all distinct clusters of  $p$  quadrupoles. The random couplings  $J_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$  are independent variables distributed according to

$$P \left( J_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \right) = (N^{p-1} / J^2 \pi^p!) \exp \left[ - \frac{(J_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p})^2 N^{p-1}}{J^2 p!} \right]. \tag{3}$$

Obviously the  $Q_{i, \mu}$  commute and satisfy the relation

$$Q_{i,0}^2 + Q_{i,2}^2 = 1. \tag{4}$$

For  $p = 2$  and short-range electric quadrupole-quadrupole couplings  $J_{ij}^{\mu, \nu}$  the model (1) has been used in the theory of QG behaviour of solid hydrogen with the concentration of the orthohydrogen less than 55% [4, 5]. In this case  $S_i^x$ ,  $S_i^y$  and  $S_i^z$  denote the components of

angular momentum operator in the local coordinate system of the orthohydrogen molecule [6].

In strict analogy with the Derrida [3] and Gross and Mezard [7] analysis it can be shown that in the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$  the Hamiltonian (1) describes the quadrupolar REM. Indeed, the probability distribution  $P(E, Q^{(1)})$  that a given configuration  $Q^{(1)}$  of quadrupoles (understood as a given set  $\{Q_{i,\mu}^{(1)}\}$  of the eigenvalues of the  $Q_{i,\mu}$ ) has energy  $E$  is

$$P(E, Q^{(1)}) = [\delta(E - H\{Q^{(1)}\})]_{av} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi NJ^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{E^2}{NJ^2}\right) \tag{5}$$

with

$$[\dots]_{av} = \int \prod_{i_1\mu_1, \dots, i_p\mu_p} dJ_{i_1\mu_1, \dots, i_p\mu_p} P(J_{i_1\mu_1, \dots, i_p\mu_p}) \dots \tag{6}$$

whereas for the probability distribution  $P(E_1, E_2, Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)})$  that two given configurations of quadrupoles  $Q^{(1)}$  and  $Q^{(2)}$  have, respectively, energies  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} P(E_1, E_2, Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}) &= [\delta(E_1 - H\{Q^{(1)}\}) \delta(E_2 - H\{Q^{(2)}\})]_{av} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi J^2 N (1 - q^p)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi J^2 N (1 + q^p)}} \\ &\times \exp\left[-\frac{(E_1 - E_2)^2}{2J^2 N (1 - q^p)} - \frac{(E_1 + E_2)^2}{2J^2 N (1 + q^p)}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\mu=0,2} Q_{i,\mu}^{(1)} Q_{i,\mu}^{(2)} \tag{8}$$

is the overlap between two configurations. Since  $|q| < 1$  in the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$P(E_1, E_2, Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}) = P(E_1)P(E_2) \tag{9}$$

so that the energy levels are uncorrelated. We find also the critical temperature  $T_c$  which for  $p \rightarrow \infty$  is

$$T_c = 1/(2\sqrt{\ln 3}). \tag{10}$$

Below  $T_c$  the system gets stuck in the lower available level with energy  $E_0 = -NJ\sqrt{\ln 3}$ . The entropy is

$$S(T) = \begin{cases} N \left( \ln 3 - \frac{J^2}{4T^2} \right) & \text{for } T > T_c \\ 0 & \text{for } T \leq T_c \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

whereas the free energy has the form

$$F(T) = \begin{cases} -N \left( \frac{J^2}{4T} + T \ln 3 \right) & \text{for } T > T_c \\ -\sqrt{\ln 3} & \text{for } T \leq T_c. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Now we will show results obtained by the replica method for  $p \rightarrow \infty$  and for large  $p$ . After calculations similar to those performed for the spin glass with  $p$ -spin interactions [7, 8] we get the free energy in the form

$$\beta F = -\frac{(\beta J)^2}{4n} \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha'} q_{\alpha\alpha'}^p + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha'} \lambda_{\alpha\alpha'} q_{\alpha\alpha'} - \frac{1}{n} \ln \text{Tr} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha'} \lambda_{\alpha\alpha'} \hat{q}_{\alpha\alpha'}\right) - \frac{(\beta J)^2}{4} \tag{13}$$

where  $\alpha, \alpha' = 1 \dots n$  ( $n \rightarrow 0$ ) are replica indices

$$\hat{q}_{\alpha\alpha'} = \sum_{\mu=0,2} Q_{i,\mu}^\alpha Q_{i,\mu}^{\alpha'} \quad (14)$$

in which  $Q_{i,\mu}^\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ th replica of the operator  $Q_{i,\mu}$  referred to an arbitrary site  $i$ , and the  $q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  and  $\lambda_{\alpha\alpha'}$  respectively denote the QG parameters and Lagrange multipliers controlling the condition

$$q_{\alpha\alpha'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\mu=0,2} Q_{i,\mu}^\alpha Q_{i,\mu}^{\alpha'}.$$

Now we are in a position to show that Parisi's ansatz for the RSB [9] is valid in our model and a possible solution for the SG order parameter function for large  $p$  is simply a step function. This means that, similarly as in the spin glass with  $p$ -spin interaction [7, 8], the first-order breaking of the replica symmetry is exact.

Following Parisi's procedure [9], in the general  $k$ th order RSB, we introduce a sequence of variables

$$0 \leq q_0 \leq q_1 \leq \dots \leq q_{k-1} \leq q_k$$

and

$$0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{k-1} \leq \lambda_k$$

where  $k$  is an arbitrary integer, which are related to the parameters  $q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  and  $\lambda_{\alpha\alpha'}$ , respectively. Then, we can rewrite equation (13) with  $n \rightarrow 0$  in the form

$$\beta F = \frac{(\beta J)^2}{4} \sum_{l=0}^k (m_{l+1} - m_l) q_l^p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^k \lambda_l q_l + \frac{\lambda_k}{2} - \ln S - \frac{(\beta J)^2}{4} \quad (15)$$

where  $m_0 = n, m_1, \dots, m_k, m_{k+1} = 1$  are the tree branch parameters [9] satisfying the inequalities

$$m_0 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_{k-1} \leq m_k \leq 1$$

as  $n \rightarrow 0$ . In expression (15)

$$S = G_0 \left( G_1 \left( \dots \left( G_{k-1} \left( G_k Z_0^{m_k}(h_1, h_2) \right)^{m_{k-1}/m_k} \right)^{m_{k-2}/m_{k-1}} \dots \right)^{m_1/m_2} \right)^{n/m_1} \Big|_{h_1=h_2=0} \quad (16)$$

where the differential operators  $G_l$  ( $l = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ) are defined by

$$G_l = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_l - \lambda_{l-1}) \sum_{\mu=0,2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial h_\mu^2} \right] \quad (17)$$

assuming  $\lambda_{-1} = 0$  when  $l = 0$ , and

$$Z_0(h_0, h_2) = \text{Tr exp} \left( \sum_{\mu=0,2} h_\mu Q_\mu \right). \quad (18)$$

The stationary condition  $\partial F / \partial q_l = 0$  gives

$$\lambda_l = \frac{(\beta J)^2}{2} p q_l^{p-1}. \quad (19)$$

If we assume  $q_l < 1$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$  and  $q_k = 1$  in the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that  $\lambda_l$  ( $l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) are very small and  $\lambda_k$  is large for large  $p$ . Then, expanding  $S$ , equation (16), to first order in  $\lambda_{l < k}$ , for large  $p$ , we get the free energy in the form

$$\beta F = \frac{(\beta J)^2}{4} \sum_{l=0}^k (m_{l+1} - m_l) q_l^p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \lambda_l (m_{l+1} - m_l) (q_l - a) + \frac{\lambda_k m_k}{2} - \frac{1}{m_k} \ln I_k - \frac{(\beta J)^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{l < k} \lambda_{l' < k}) \quad (20)$$

where

$$I_k = I_k(h_0 = 0, h_2 = 0) \quad (21)$$

and

$$a = \frac{1}{m_k^2} \sum_{\mu=0,2} I_k^{-2} \left[ \frac{\partial I_k(h_0, h_2)}{\partial h_\mu} \right]^2 \Big|_{h_0=h_2=0} \quad (22)$$

with

$$I_k(h_0, h_2) = \tilde{G}_k Z_0^{m_k}(h_0, h_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}\right) \times \left\{ \exp(h_0 + \lambda_k^{1/2} x) + 2 \exp\left(-\frac{h_0 + \lambda_k^{1/2} x}{2}\right) \cosh\left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(h_2 + \lambda_k^{1/2} y)\right] \right\} \quad (23)$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_k = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_k \sum_{\mu=0,2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial h_\mu^2}\right).$$

The asymptotic form of  $I_k(h_0, h_2)$  for large  $\lambda_k$  (large  $p$ ) is

$$I_k(h_0, h_2) = e^{\lambda_k m_k^2/2} \left\{ e^{h_0 m_k} + 2e^{-h_0 m_k/2} \cosh\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} h_2 m_k\right) \left[ 1 - \frac{3\xi(m_k) \exp(-\frac{3}{8} \lambda_k m_k^2)}{2\lambda_k^{1/2}} \right] \right\} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\xi(x) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{z} \{ z^x - [(1+z)^x - 1] z^{-x} \}. \quad (25)$$

Note that  $\xi(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  with  $\xi(1) = 0$ .

From the stationary conditions  $\partial F / \partial \lambda_l = 0$  and  $\partial F / \partial m_k = 0$  and assuming that  $p$  is large we obtain

$$q_{l < k} = \frac{\xi^2(m_k)}{2(\beta J)^2 p} 3^{-3p/4} \quad (26)$$

$$q_k = 1 - \frac{3 m_k \xi(m_k)}{4(1 - m_k)} \frac{3^{-3p/4}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\beta J)^2 p}} \quad (27)$$

and

$$m_k = \frac{T}{T_c} \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{8} \frac{T}{T_c} \xi\left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right) \sqrt{\frac{2p}{\ln 3}} 3^{-3p/4} \right] \quad (28)$$

with

$$T_c = \frac{J}{2\sqrt{\ln 3}} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3(\ln 3)^3 p}} 3^{-3p/4} \right]. \quad (29)$$

The results (26)–(28) show that the Parisi (RSB) scheme [9] can be applied without any modifications to our QG model, for large  $p$  at least. The QG parameter function  $q(x)$  is a step function with the jump at  $x = m_k$ . It is interesting that in contrast to the  $p$ -spin interaction spin glass model, where  $q_{l < k} = 0$  for  $p \rightarrow \infty$  as well as for large  $p$  [8], in the present model the  $q_{l < k}$  vanish only in the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a strict consequence of the lack of spin reversal symmetry in a quadrupolar system, which determines such a form of  $I_k(h_0, h_2)$ , equation (23), that  $I_k(h_0, h_2) \neq I_k(-h_0, -h_2)$  and hence  $a$ , equation (22) vanishes only in the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$ .

This work was supported by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) under Grant No 2 P302 264 03.

## References

- [1] Höchli U T, Knorr K and Loidl A 1990 *Adv. Phys.* **39** 405  
Binder K and Reger J D 1992 *Adv. Phys.* **41** 547  
Lynden-Bell R M and Michel K H 1994 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66** 721
- [2] Goldbart P and Elderfield D 1985 *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **18** L229
- [3] Derrida B 1980 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45** 79; 1981 *Phys. Rev. B* **24** 2613
- [4] Kokshenev V B 1985 *Solid State Commun.* **55** 143  
Kokshenev V B and Litvin A A 1987 *Fiz. Nizk. Temp.* **13** 339 (in Russian) (Engl. transl. 1987 *Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys.* **13** 195)
- [5] Walasek K and Lukierska-Walasek K 1994 *Phys. Rev. B* **49** 9460
- [6] Sullivan N S, Devoret M and Estève D 1984 *Phys. Rev. B* **30** 4935
- [7] Gross D J and Mezard M 1984 *Nucl. Phys. B* **240** 431
- [8] Gardner E 1985 *Nucl. Phys. B* **257** 747
- [9] Mezard M, Parisi G and Virasoro M A 1987 *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond (World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics 9)* (Singapore: World Scientific)